Exhibit 4

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                        SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
                                 ) CIVIL ACTION NO.
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                 ) 2:16-CV-14050-MAG-RSW
 4
               PLAINTIFF,
 5
                                 ) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
          VS.
                                 ) OF VICKI ANN BOTT
 6
     QUICKEN LOANS, INC.,
 8
               DEFENDANT.
 9
10
               VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF VICKI ANN BOTT,
11
     taken before Michele M. Chase, a Certified Shorthand
12
    Reporter in and for the State of Iowa, beginning at
13
     8:26 a.m., on February 13, 2018, at the U.S.
14
    Courthouse Annex, 110 East Court Avenue, Suite 286,
15
    Des Moines, Iowa.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    hearing internally at FHA or on the street --
 2
                    MR. REIMER: Objection --
 3
    BY MR. MORGANROTH:
 4
               -- in terms of, you know, TOTAL Scorecard
          Ο.
 5
     and their obligations of usage --
 6
                    MR. REIMER: -- objection to form.
 7
    BY MR. MORGANROTH:
 8
          Ο.
               -- right?
 9
               During the time I was at FHA, there were
10
    people who wanted clarifications. I do not believe
11
     there were significant indemnifications happening at
12
     the time to raise the issue. But the IG
13
     definitely -- I mean, this is very specific to the
14
     inspector general at the time, and they sometimes, I
15
     agree, had different rules.
16
                         (Exhibit No. 25
17
                         marked for identification.)
18
    BY MR. MORGANROTH:
19
               Ms. Bott, you've been handed what's been
         0.
20
    marked as Exhibit 25.
21
                    MR. MORGANROTH: For the record, it's
22
    an email chain. The top email is from Vicki Bott to
23
    Karin Hill. It's been Bates stamped USQKN2463919
24
    through 2463920. It's been marked confidential
25
    pursuant to the protective order.
```

```
1
    BY MR. MORGANROTH:
 2
               I'd like to focus in on the second email.
 3
    which is from you to Robert Ryan and Dave Stevens --
 4
    David Stevens, July 30 of 2010.
 5
              Do you see that?
 6
         Α.
              Yep.
7
                   MR. REIMER: Jeff, can I get a second
8
    just to read this real quick?
9
              I'm going to designate this document as
10
    covered by deliberative process and claw it back at
11
    this point. It goes to the inner workings of TOTAL
12
    Mortgage Scorecard, and we have designated that
13
    topic as a deliberative process topic, how TOTAL
14
    works. It's the formulas and inputs that make its
15
    decisions. So I'm going to claw this document back
16
    at this time.
17
                   MR. MORGANROTH: I don't understand
18
    the objection and the --
19
                   MR. REIMER: Nevertheless, I'm
20
    clawing the document back as a deliberative process.
21
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Well, the
22
    explanation you just gave does not support
23
    deliberative process privilege over this document.
24
                   MR. REIMER: And -- well, I think it
25
    does. The deliberative process is the thought
```

```
1
    process and what goes into the determination of a
2
    government decision and the inner working of inputs
3
    to TOTAL Mortgage Scorecard, and what is -- what
4
    constitutes an accept or refer decision is something
5
    that we have asserted that privilege over. So I'm
6
    going to claw the document back.
7
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Okay. Well --
8
                   MR. REIMER: I'm happy to go off the
9
    record and have a conversation with you if you'd
10
    like to, so --
11
                   MR. MORGANROTH: I know. We're using
12
    up my time, so --
13
                   MR. REIMER: -- I don't burn your
14
    time up. I know. So do you want to --
15
                   MR. MORGANROTH: -- I'm going to ask
16
    you for these few minutes back that we're arguing
17
    about this. (But, listen, I -- I don't agree with)
18
    your -- your clawback or your -- your objection and
19
    characterization. But, I mean, that -- I quess
20
    that'll be for another time, and I won't ask the
21
    witness about this.
22
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
                                       Thank you.
23
                   MR. MORGANROTH: And you're taking
24
    away my document?
25
                   MR. REIMER: Yes.
                                      Andy?
```

```
1
                   MR. LUSK: We're going to keep the
2
    ones we got back at the office. You understand
3
    that, right?
4
                   MR. REIMER: Well, I will formally --
5
    we'll formally withdraw -- assert -- claw these
6
    back. That was 25, so we can remark something as
7
    25.
8
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Well, no. We should
9
    keep that as --
10
                   MR. REIMER: Well, how -- all right.
11
    Fine. We'll keep it marked as 25, but I'm going to
12
    take it with me.
13
                   MR. MORGANROTH: It's just --
14
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
15
                   MR. MORGANROTH: We'll have something
16
    else as 26. We'll pull out a new guy.
17
                   MR. REIMER: I'm also going to
18
    delegate it as attorneys' eyes only, but that --
19
    nevermind -- it doesn't really matter because I'm
20
    clawing it back. Sorry. Strike that.
21
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Can we take a
22
    break -- do you mind if we take a break for a
23
    second?
24
                   VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
25
    1223.
```

Vicki Bott 193 2/13/2018

```
1
     existing, slash, new review rules.
 2
              Do you see that?
 3
         Α.
              Yep.
4
         Q.
              Okay. Let's look at another attachment.
5
                        (Exhibit No. 30
                        marked for identification.)
6
7
                   MR. REIMER: Jeff, I'm going --
8
                   MR. MORGANROTH: You're going to claw
9
    this back?
10
                   MR. REIMER: I'm going to claw this
11
    document back, too.
12
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Which one?
13
                   MR. REIMER: The document that --
14
    well, are you going -- the document you just handed
15
    me, has it been marked yet?
16
                   MR. MORGANROTH: It's part of the
17
    attachment to what I've marked as Exhibit 28.
18
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
                                       Well so --
19
                   THE WITNESS: 29.
20
                   MR. REIMER: -- okay. Well, so the
21
    document -- you marked the last one as 29 separate?
22
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Yeah, 29 was the
23
    frist attachment. This is the second attachment.
24
                   MR. REIMER: Okay. And so I'm going
25
    to claw back this --
```

Vicki Bott 194 2/13/2018

```
1
                   MR. MORGANROTH: They're all
2
    attachments to 28.
3
                   MR. REIMER: -- I'm going -- 29 is --
4
    I'm not clawing that one back, but I'm going to claw
5
    back the second attachment, which is titled, TOTAL
    Scorecard project management plan.
6
7
                   MR. MORGANROTH: And what's your
8
    basis?
9
                   MR. REIMER: Deliberative process.
10
    This goes into the inner workings of the government
11
    predecisional -- predecisional to rolling out a new
12
    project, and it would potentially allow for the
13
    reverse engineering of TOTAL Mortgage Scorecard.
14
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Okay. Reading this
15
    document, I disagree with you on -- on that
16
    interpretation.
17
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
18
                   MR. MORGANROTH: That's not what this
19
    is. And it wouldn't give anyone the ability to
20
    reverse engineer. This is --
21
                   MR. REIMER: Well, I'm clawing the
22
    document back. So my understanding is -- of the
23
    rules is you have to --
24
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Okay. Well, let me
25
    identify it for the record, and then --
```

Vicki Bott 195 2/13/2018

```
1
                   MR. REIMER: Sure.
2
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Well, we'll mark it
3
    as Exhibit 30, but he's going to claw it back, and
4
    I'm just going to have --
5
                   MR. CEARLEY: 29 and 30?
6
                   MR. MORGANROTH: 30. So for the
7
    record, Exhibit 30 is entitled TOTAL Scorecard
8
    project management plan. It's been Bates stamped
9
    USQKN2468655 through 2468668. It was marked
10
    confidential pursuant to the protective order. This
11
    is -- just for the record, is part --
12
                   MR. REIMER: Please do not discuss
13
    the -- what you believe --
14
                   MR. MORGANROTH: No, no.
15
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
16
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Hang on. For the
17
    record, this was one of the attachments to
18
    Exhibit 28. There were three attachments, and this
19
    was the second one. 29 was the first attachment.
20
    And I have another attachment which I'm going to
21
    mark next, and we'll see if you're clawing that one
22
    back.
23
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
24
                   MR. MORGANROTH: But my understanding
25
    is Exhibit 30 is being clawed back.
```

Vicki Bott 196 2/13/2018

```
1
                   MR. REIMER: Yes.
2
                   MR. MORGANROTH: So I'm handing my
3
    copy to --
4
                   MR. REIMER: Thank you.
5
                   MR. MORGANROTH: -- Mr. Reimer. And
6
    here is the original. Not the original, but the
7
    marked one.
8
                        (Exhibit No. 31)
9
                        marked for identification.)
10
                   MR. REIMER: So I'm going to claw
11
    this one back, too.
12
                   MR. LUSK: How is this remotely close
13
    to that --
14
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Yeah.
15
                   MR. LUSK: -- I mean, that makes no
16
    sense.
17
                   MR. REIMER: The document contains
18
    predecisional work of the government --
19
                   MR. LUSK: No.
                                   Where --
20
                   MR. REIMER: -- as covered by the
21
    deliberative process -- Andy, I'm not -- I'm not
22
    asking you to opine on this. I'm clawing this
23
    document back --
24
                   MR. LUSK:
                              We had --
25
                   MR. REIMER: -- as we are --
```

Vicki Bott 197 2/13/2018

```
1
                   MR. LUSK: -- this conversation --
2
                   MR. REIMER: -- permitted to do.
3
                   MR. LUSK: -- before. I don't need
4
    your permission to opine on things --
5
                   MR. REIMER: Okay.
6
                   MR. LUSK: -- okay?
7
                   MR. REIMER: Well, I'm --
8
                   MR. LUSK: Jeez, stop doing that.
9
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Mr. Reimer, just --
10
    I ask that you reconsider --
11
                   MR. REIMER: I'm asking to claw back
12
    the document.
13
                   MR. MORGANROTH: I understand that,
14
    but let me just make a statement for the record and
15
    see if you'll reconsider. This document compares
16
    prior versions of TOTAL Scorecard, which are all
17
    stale and none of them are in -- in effect. So it's
18
    a -- it has the version, and the last version that's
19
    being referenced here is from 2010.
20
              And it compares aspects of those prior
21
    versions, none of which would be in effect now and
22
    none of which would give anyone the ability to
23
    reverse engineer anything. And it doesn't have any
24
    deliberative process comments on here. It's just a
25
    spreadsheet as to the elements of prior versions.
```

Vicki Bott 198 2/13/2018

```
1
                   MR. REIMER: The last version is --
2
    my understanding is -- as I'm reading this is a
3
    version that's being worked on and concerns that, so
4
    that's why I'm going to claw this document back.
5
                   MR. MORGANROTH: Well, so then you
6
    don't get to claw the whole document back. If
7
    that's the case, then it would just be the last
8
    version that would be redacted if -- if for some
9
    reason that would be privileged, but the rest of the
10
    document isn't.
11
                   MR. REIMER: I'm going to claw this
12
    document back.
13
                   MR. MORGANROTH: All right. I'm
14
    going to object to you clawing these documents back,
15
    but I understand you're clawing it back. This would
16
    be marked as Exhibit 31. For the record, the Bates
17
    stamp numbers are USK -- I'm sorry -- USOKN2468669
18
    through 2468870. The document had been marked
19
    confidential pursuant to the protective order.
              I quess Exhibit 31 is being clawed back
20
21
    now.
22
              Now I want to go off the record briefly so
23
    I can see if there's some documents I have left that
24
    you're not going to claw back.
25
                   MR. REIMER:
                                Fair enough.
                                              Okay.
```

```
1
                       VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
 2
     1247.
 3
                             (12:47 p.m. - Recess taken.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```